

# ℝ-MOTIVIC $K(1)$ -LOCALIZATION

WILLIAM BALDERRAMA

We work in the cellular ℝ-motivic category. Let  $KQ$  and  $KGL$  denote the ℝ-motivic spectra of Hermitian and algebraic  $K$ -theory, related by  $KGL \simeq KQ/(\eta)$ . See [BH21, Section 3] (where  $KQ = KO$ ) for background. For  $X, Y \in \mathcal{S}p_{\mathbb{R}}$ , write

$$X \widehat{\otimes} Y = (X \otimes Y)_{(2, \eta)}^{\wedge}.$$

Fix a cellular ℝ-motivic spectrum  $X$ , and define

$$LX = \text{Fib}(\psi^3 - 1: X \widehat{\otimes} KQ \rightarrow X \widehat{\otimes} KQ).$$

0.0.1. **Theorem.** There is an equivalence  $L_{KGL/(2)}X \simeq LX$ . ◁

The main input to this theorem is the following.

0.0.2. **Lemma.** Let  $Y$  be a cellular ℂ-motivic spectrum. Then there is a fiber sequence

$$Y \otimes KGL/(2) \longrightarrow Y \otimes KGL/(2) \widehat{\otimes} KQ \xrightarrow{\psi^3 - 1} Y \widehat{\otimes} KGL/(2) \widehat{\otimes} KQ, \quad (1)$$

where for this lemma only  $KGL$  and  $KQ$  refer to their ℂ-motivic forms.

*Proof.* As  $KGL/(2) = KQ/(2, \eta)$ , the completed tensor products in Eq. (1) are ordinary tensor products. Thus the sequence is stable under colimits, and by cellularity we may reduce to the case where  $Y = S^{2a, a}$  for some  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We now appeal to the relation between ℂ-motivic homotopy theory and  $BP$ -synthetic homotopy theory. With notation from [GIKR18], we have

$$S^{2a, a} \simeq \Gamma_{\star}(S^{2a}), \quad KGL \simeq \Gamma_{\star}(KU), \quad KQ \simeq \Gamma_{\star}(KO).$$

Consider the classical cofiber sequence

$$S^{2a} \otimes KU/(2) \longrightarrow S^{2a} \otimes KU/(2) \otimes KO \xrightarrow{\psi^3 - 1} S^{2a} \otimes KU/(2) \otimes KO. \quad (2)$$

As  $KU$  and  $S^{2a}$  are filtered colimits of even finite spectra [HS99, Proposition 2.12], we have

$$\Gamma_{\star}(S^{2a} \otimes KU \otimes KO) \simeq S^{2a, a} \otimes KGL \otimes KQ.$$

By [GIKR18, Proposition 3.17],  $\Gamma_{\star}$  preserves the cofiber of 2 on this, yielding

$$\Gamma_{\star}(S^{2a} \otimes KU/(2) \otimes KO) \simeq S^{2a, a} \otimes KGL/(2) \otimes KQ.$$

Likewise we have

$$\Gamma_{\star}(S^{2a} \otimes KU/(2)) \simeq S^{2a, a} \otimes KGL/(2).$$

Thus we learn that applying  $\Gamma_{\star}$  to Eq. (2) yields Eq. (1), and this remains a fiber sequence by [GIKR18, Proposition 3.17], yielding the lemma. ◻

The following is essentially a formal property of localizations with respect to a quotient.

0.0.3. **Lemma.**  $LX$  is  $KGL/(2)$ -local.

*Date:* April 6, 2022.

*Proof.* As  $KGL/(2)$ -local objects are closed under fibers, it suffices to show that  $X \widehat{\otimes} KQ$  is  $KGL/(2)$ -local. Let  $C$  be  $KGL/(2)$ -acyclic; we must show that  $[C, X \widehat{\otimes} KQ] = 0$ . As  $KGL/(2) = KQ/(2, \eta)$ , it follows that  $C$  is  $KQ/(2^i, \eta^j)$ -acyclic for all  $i, j \geq 1$ . Thus

$$\mathrm{Sp}_{\mathbb{R}}(C, X \widehat{\otimes} KQ) \simeq \lim_{i,j} \mathrm{Sp}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathrm{Sp}_{\mathbb{R}}(C, KQ/(2^i, \eta^j)), X) \simeq 0. \quad \square$$

We can now give the following.

*Proof of Theorem 0.0.1.* We must show that  $LX$  is  $KGL/(2)$ -local and that  $X \rightarrow LX$  is a  $KGL/(2)$ -equivalence. The first follows from Lemma 0.0.3, so it suffices to show the latter. Equivalently, we must verify that

$$KGL/(2) \otimes X \longrightarrow KGL/(2) \otimes X \widehat{\otimes} KQ \xrightarrow{\psi^3-1} KGL/(2) \otimes X \widehat{\otimes} KQ \quad (3)$$

is a fiber sequence. By the  $\rho$ -fracture square, it suffices to check this after real realization (inverting  $\rho$ ) and after base change to  $\mathbb{C}$  (killing  $\rho$ ).

Recall that the real realization of  $KGL/(2)$  vanishes [BH21, Lemma 3.9]. As  $KGL/(2) = KO/(2, \eta)$ , the completed tensor products in Eq. (3) are ordinary tensor products. As real realization is strong monoidal, it follows that all terms Eq. (3) are sent to 0 by real realization, so the real realization of Eq. (3) is indeed a fiber sequence.

So we have reduced to verifying that Eq. (3) is a fiber sequence after base change to  $\mathbb{C}$ . As base change preserves cellular objects, this follows from Lemma 0.0.2.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [BH21] Tom Bachmann and Michael J. Hopkins.  $\eta$ -periodic motivic stable homotopy theory over fields. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2005.06778, May 2021.
- [GIKR18] Bogdan Gheorghe, Daniel C. Isaksen, Achim Krause, and Nicolas Ricka.  $\mathbb{C}$ -motivic modular forms. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1810.11050, October 2018.
- [HS99] Mark Hovey and Neil P. Strickland. Morava  $K$ -theories and localisation. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 139(666):viii+100, 1999.