

\mathbb{F}_p -SYNTHETIC p -PROFINITE SPACES

WILLIAM BALDERRAMA

Fix a positive prime p and let $\mathcal{G}em_p \subseteq \mathcal{S}pd_\infty$ be the full subcategory spanned by finite products of the Eilenberg–MacLane spaces $K(\mathbb{F}_p, n)$ for $n \geq 0$. In [Bal21, Example 1.3.9], I observed that $\mathcal{G}em_p^{\text{op}}$ is a finitary loop theory and asserted that $\text{Model}_{\mathcal{G}em_p^{\text{op}}}^{\text{op}}$ is a reasonable category of \mathbb{F}_p -synthetic p -profinite spaces, but did not include a proof of any of my claims, in particular of the identification of the special and generic fibers. A few people have asked about this, so this note fills in some of the details.

We begin by identifying the special fiber. Write $\mathcal{R}ing_{\mathcal{U}}^\heartsuit$ for the category of unstable rings over the Steenrod algebra.

0.1. Proposition. There is an equivalence $\text{Model}_{\mathcal{G}em_p^{\text{op}}}^\heartsuit \simeq \mathcal{R}ing_{\mathcal{U}}^\heartsuit$.

Proof. In a sense, this is just the definition of a \mathcal{U} -ring. By definition, a \mathcal{U} -ring is a graded set together with various zero-ary, unary, and binary operations, all subject to certain universally quantified axioms. In other words, \mathcal{U} -rings are models for a multisorted algebraic theory, and thus $\mathcal{R}ing_{\mathcal{U}}^\heartsuit \simeq \text{Model}_{\mathcal{R}ing_{\mathcal{U}}^{\text{free}}}^\heartsuit$.

As the cohomology of any space is naturally a \mathcal{U} -ring, cohomology defines a functor

$$H^*(-; \mathbb{F}_p): \mathcal{G}em_p^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}ing_{\mathcal{U}}. \quad (1)$$

The axioms of a \mathcal{U} -ring are cooked up precisely so that Cartan’s computation of the cohomology of Eilenberg–MacLane spaces proves that $H^*K(\mathbb{F}_p, n)$ is the free \mathcal{U} -ring on a generator in cohomological degree n , and this can be upgraded to show that Eq. (1) restricts to an equivalence $\text{h}\mathcal{G}em_p^{\text{op}} \simeq \mathcal{R}ing_{\mathcal{U}}^{\text{free}}$. Thus

$$\mathcal{R}ing_{\mathcal{U}}^\heartsuit \simeq \text{Model}_{\mathcal{R}ing_{\mathcal{U}}^{\text{free}}}^\heartsuit \simeq \text{Model}_{\mathcal{G}em_p^{\text{op}}}^\heartsuit$$

as claimed. □

A little more work is required to identify the generic fiber. It is plausible this could be done directly, but the approach I will give instead goes through a synthetic version of Mandell’s p -adic homotopy theory [Man01, Lur11] (see [Lur07] for a very readable exposition).

0.2. Lemma. Let \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{P}' be loop theories, and let $f: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \text{Model}_{\mathcal{P}'}^\Omega$ be a functor. Suppose that the induced functor $\bar{f}_! : \text{Model}_{\text{h}\mathcal{P}} \rightarrow \text{Model}_{\text{h}\mathcal{P}'}$ is fully faithful and preserves finite limits.¹ Then $f_! : \text{Model}_{\mathcal{P}} \rightarrow \text{Model}_{\mathcal{P}'}$ is fully faithful and preserves loop models.

Proof. We first verify that $f_!$ is fully faithful. Fix $X, Y \in \text{Model}_{\mathcal{P}}$, and consider the map $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{P}}(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\mathcal{P}'}(f_!X, f_!Y)$. It is possible to show that $f_! : \text{Model}_{\mathcal{P}} \rightarrow \text{Model}_{\mathcal{P}'}$ is, in a suitably precise sense, compatible with the construction of derived Postnikov towers in the

Date: May 11, 2024.

¹Here I write $\bar{f}_!$ for the derived functor, denoted $\mathbb{L}\bar{f}$ in [Bal21].

sense of [Bal21, Section 5.4],² and so by induction up the derived Postnikov tower of Y it suffices to verify that the induced maps

$$\mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{h}\mathcal{P}}(\tau_! X, B_{\tau_! Y}^{n+1} \tau_! Y_{S^n}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{h}\mathcal{P}'}(\tau_! f_! X, B_{\tau_! f_! Y}^{n+1} \tau_! f_! Y_{S^n}) \quad (2)$$

on layers are equivalences. As $\bar{f}_!$ preserves geometric realizations and finite limits, we can identify

$$B_{\tau_! f_! Y}^{n+1} \tau_! f_! Y_{S^n} \simeq B_{\bar{f}_! \tau_! Y}^{n+1} \bar{f}_! \tau_! Y_{S^n} \simeq \bar{f}_! B_{\tau_! Y}^{n+1} \tau_! Y_{S^n},$$

and Eq. (2) is just induced by functoriality of $\bar{f}_! : \mathrm{Model}_{\mathrm{h}\mathcal{P}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Model}_{\mathrm{h}\mathcal{P}'}$. As $\bar{f}_!$ is fully faithful, it is thus an equivalence as claimed.

We next verify that $f_!$ preserves loop models. Let $X \in \mathrm{Model}_{\mathcal{P}}^\Omega$, so that wish to prove $f_! X \in \mathrm{Model}_{\mathcal{P}'}^\Omega$. By [Bal21, Corollary 3.2.2], it is equivalent to show that $\tau_! f_! (X)$ is 0-truncated. As X is itself a loop model, we can identify

$$\tau_! f_! X \simeq \bar{f}_! \tau_! X \simeq \bar{f}_!(\pi_0 X),$$

so it suffices to verify that $\bar{f}_!$ preserves 0-truncated objects. This follows from the assumption that $\bar{f}_!$ preserves finite limits [Lur17, Proposition 5.5.6.16]. \square

Now let $\kappa = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, and write $\mathcal{C}\mathrm{Alg}_\kappa$ for the category of \mathbb{E}_∞ rings over κ and $c : \mathrm{Gem}_p^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}\mathrm{Alg}_\kappa$ for the functor of cochains. This preserves coproducts, and therefore extends to a colimit-preserving functor

$$c_! : \mathrm{Model}_{\mathrm{Gem}_p^{\mathrm{op}}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Model}_{\mathcal{C}\mathrm{Alg}_\kappa^{\mathrm{free}}}.$$

0.3. Proposition. The functor $c_!$ is fully faithful and preserves loop models.

Proof. We apply Lemma 0.2. With notation from [Bal23, Section 5], the functor $\bar{c}_!$ decomposes as a composite

$$\mathrm{Ring}_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ring}_{\mathrm{DL}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ring}_{\kappa \otimes \mathrm{DL}},$$

where

- (1) $\mathrm{Ring}_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ring}_{\mathrm{DL}}$ is restriction along a map of theories derived from the fact that a \mathcal{U} -ring is exactly a DL-ring on which Q^0 acts by the identity;
- (2) $\mathrm{Ring}_{\mathrm{DL}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ring}_{\kappa \otimes \mathrm{DL}}$ is given, on underlying modules, by tensoring with κ .

It follows immediately from this decomposition that $\bar{c}_!$ preserves finite limits, and Mandell's work shows that it is fully faithful [Bal23, Proposition 5.3.3]. \square

We can now give the promised identification of the generic fiber. Let $\mathcal{F}\mathrm{in}_p$ denote the category of p -finite spaces, and write $i : \mathrm{Gem}_p^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}\mathrm{in}_p^{\mathrm{op}}$ for the inclusion. This preserves coproducts and S^n -tensors, and therefore extends to a colimit-preserving functor

$$i_! : \mathrm{Model}_{\mathrm{Gem}_p^{\mathrm{op}}}^\Omega \rightarrow \mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{F}\mathrm{in}_p^{\mathrm{op}}).$$

0.4. Theorem. The functor $i_!$ is an equivalence of categories.

Proof. First we verify that $i_!$ is fully faithful. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{Model}_{\mathrm{Gem}_p^{\mathrm{op}}}^\Omega & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathrm{Model}_{\mathrm{Gem}_p^{\mathrm{op}}} & \xrightarrow{c_!} & \mathrm{Model}_{\mathcal{C}\mathrm{Alg}_\kappa^{\mathrm{free}}} \\ \downarrow i_! & \searrow \tilde{c}_! & & & \uparrow \\ \mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{F}\mathrm{in}_p^{\mathrm{op}}) & \xrightarrow{C^\bullet(-; \kappa)} & \mathcal{C}\mathrm{Alg}_\kappa & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \mathrm{Model}_{\mathcal{C}\mathrm{Alg}_\kappa^{\mathrm{free}}}^\Omega \end{array}.$$

²A careful formulation will appear in forthcoming work with Piotr Pstrągowski on synthetic spaces.

All functors in the outer rectangle, except possibly $i_!$, are fully faithful: $c_!$ by the first half of [Proposition 0.3](#) and $C^\bullet(-; \kappa)$ by [[Lur11](#), Proposition 3.1.16]. To show that $i_!$ is fully faithful, it therefore suffices to verify that the diagram in fact commutes. By the second half of [Proposition 0.3](#), the top horizontal composite lands in the full subcategory $\text{Model}_{\mathcal{C}\text{Alg}_\kappa^{\text{free}}}^\Omega \subseteq \text{Model}_{\mathcal{C}\text{Alg}_\kappa^{\text{free}}}$, giving the indicated lifting $\tilde{c}_!$ for which the top triangle commutes. As $c_!$ preserves colimits, so does $\tilde{c}_!$. As all functors in the bottom triangle preserve colimits, to verify that it commutes it suffices to verify that it commutes after restriction to Gem_p^{op} . This now just follows from the definitions of the functors involved.

Next we verify that $i_!$ is essentially surjective. As $i_!$ is colimit-preserving and fully faithful, its essential image is closed under colimits. As $\mathcal{F}\text{in}_p$ is generated under finite limits by Gem_p , it follows that $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{F}\text{in}_p^{\text{op}})$ is generated under colimits by Gem_p^{op} . As the essential image of $i_!$ contains Gem_p^{op} by construction, it follows that $i_!$ is essentially surjective.

As $i_!$ is fully faithful and essentially surjective, it is an equivalence of categories. □

0.5. Corollary. There is an equivalence $(\text{Model}_{\text{Gem}_p^{\text{op}}}^\Omega)^{\text{op}} \simeq \text{Pro}(\mathcal{F}\text{in}_p)$. □

REFERENCES

- [Bal21] William Balderrama. Deformations of homotopy theories via algebraic theories, 2021.
- [Bal23] William Balderrama. Algebraic theories of power operations. *J. Topol.*, 16(4):1543–1640, 2023.
- [Lur07] Jacob Lurie. Topics in algebraic topology: The Sullivan conjecture, 2007.
- [Lur11] Jacob Lurie. Derived algebraic geometry XIII: Rational and p -adic homotopy theory. <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/DAG-XIII.pdf>, 2011.
- [Lur17] Jacob Lurie. Higher topos theory. <http://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/HTT.pdf>, 2017.
- [Man01] Michael A. Mandell. E_∞ algebras and p -adic homotopy theory. *Topology*, 40(1):43–94, 2001.